

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/511,398

Applicant(s)

OKUMURA ET AL.

Examiner

Marianne L. Padgett

Art Unit

1762

All Participants:

(1) Marianne L. Padgett.

(2) Douglas Hahm.

Status of Application: allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 September 2004

Time: several

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

MARIANNE PADGETT
PRIMARY EXAMINER



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Pages 35-36, paragraphs [0155] & [0156] refer to claims no longer in existence, so need amending. It was confirmed that they may properly refer to "aspects of the same numbers, as is done elsewhere in the specification & it was agreed that the change would be made by examiner's amendment. .